Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,520	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kennedy J. Schaetzle	3766
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Kennedy J. Schaetzle</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Andreas Baltatzis</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>6 December 2010</u>	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 25, 26, 27, 31 and 32		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed amendments to correct for minor formalities agreed to. See Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)